## Page-2

Students overall evaluation of the programme and teaching

To be filled only after the course results are declared Philosophy

Department

Departm	ent PW1020phy	Course:	^
Teacher:	r c irakar	Year :	Core
Your resp	onses will be seen only after your course results ha	ave been finalized	and recorded
The infor	mation will be used only for the improvement of th	e course and tead	thing in fathers
You need	not your name if you do not wish to.	To anot drie teat	and in luture.
	cick more than one answer to a question to the exte	ont that the d.	
- 1	a. Adequate b. Inadequate c. Challenging d. Dull ckground for benefiting from the course was a. More than adequate b. Adequate c. Inadequate d. Cannot say	aney do n	or contradict each other.
4. Wh:	w much of the syllabus was covered in the class?  a. 85 to 100%  b. 70 to 855  c. 55 to 70%  d. Less than 55%  at is your opinion about the library material and factors.  b. Adequate  c. Inadequate  d. Very poor  hat extent were you able to get material for the present the content of the class?  a. 85 to 100%  b. 70 to 855  c. 55 to 70%  d. Less than 55%  d. Less than 55%		

2 5000	
a. Easy	
b. With some difficulty	
c. Not available at all	
d. With great difficulty	
6. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes ?	
a. Thoroughly b. Satisfactory	
c. Poorly	
d. Indifferently	
7 How well was the total	
7. How well was the teacher able to communicate? a. Always effective	
b. Sometimes effective	
c. Just satisfactorily	
d. Generally ineffective	
How far the teacher encourages student participation in class?     a. Mostly yes	
b. Sometimes	
c. Not at all	
d. Always	
9. If yes, which of the following methods were used?	
a. Encouraged to raise questions	
b. Get involved in discussion in class	
c. Encourage discussion outside class d. Did not encourage	
10. How helpful was the teacher in advising?	
a. Very helpful	
b. Sometimes helpful	
c. Not at all helpful	
d. Did not advise	
11. The teachers approach can best be described as	
a. Always courteous	
b. Sometimes rude	
c. Always indifferent	
d. Cannot say	
12. Internal assessment was	
a. Always fair	
b. Sometimes unfair	
c. Usually unfair	
d. Sometimes fair	
3. What effect do you think the internal page.	
3. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?  a. Helps to improve	
b. Discouraging	

c.	No special effect
d.	Sometimes effective
. 14. How of	ten did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
a.	Regularly/in time
b.	With helpful comment
c.	Often/late
d.	Without any comments
	our assignments discussed with you?
a.	Yes, fully
b.	Yes, partly
c.	Not discussed at all
d.	Sometimes discussed
16. Were ye	ou provided with a course contributory lecture too at the bosinging?
a.	Yes Yes
b.	No
If yes, w	as it helpful?
a.	Yes
b.	No
d. 16. Were you a. b. If yes, w	Sometimes discussed ou provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning? Yes No vas it helpful? Yes

Mame - Virash Lymar Das Class 65 and senot Pell - 4.65

mob - ag 3439851

## Feedback on curriculum

Name of the Dept. Hinds	
Name of the coordinator (collecting feedback)	Dr. B. H. pragad
Feedback taken on dated	
1. The topic was	
a. Interesting	
b. Traditional	tale as we participation
c. Not interesting	
d. Should remove from the syllabus	
2. Was the topic easy or difficult to understa	nd?
a. Easy	and r
b. Manageable	
c. Inadequate	
d. Cannot say	10
3. How many days it takes to complete the s	
a. 2days	alu topic?
b. 3days	
c. 4days	
d. More than 5 days	1060
and the second s	
4. What % the topic related to the current jol	2 environment?
a. Related only 10 to 25%	
b. Related more than 25%	
c. Related 50%	
d. Not related at all	
5. What topic you want that the university sh	
job environment market ?	ould incorporate in the syllabus to meet the current
a. Course on share market to be introdu	
b. Accounting package like tally should i	ntroduce
c. More industry project work to be intr	odured
d. Enter pre-neurship development pro	pgramme must introduced

6.	As a	Alumni , what is your opinion about the topic ?
	a.	Satisfactory
		To be modify
	c.	More feedback should taken
	d.	Alumni/Employer /Industries should take active participation for modification of topic
		res modification of topic

Manaj Rumal

Semestel II

Hindicale

Class Radino - 1060

Class Radino - 2016-19

Sessich - 2016-19

# THARKHAND COLLEGE, DUMRI (Perman entry Affiliated to VBU, Hazaribag)

Student feedback on teacher

Department:	Semester / Teatry Teat.				
Please rate the teacher on the following attrib	outes usi	ng the 4-	point scale sho	own	
4.00 3.00 2.00 1.50				0.0	
				3 (3)	
Very good Good satisfactory		unsatis	factory	d and recorded	
Name of the Teacher: DR . SVJIT	KVM	IAR N	MATHUR		
wished not your name if you do and	4.0	3.0	2.00	1.50	
parameters	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory	Elemen.
1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 2. communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility) 3. sincerity/commitment of the teacher 4. Interest generated by the teacher 5. Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective 6. Ability to integrate content with other courses 7 Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) 8. Ability to design quizzes /Tests/assignments /examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course 9 Provision of sufficient time for feedback 10. Overall rating	1111				

# Students overall evaluation of the programme and teaching

To be filled only after the course results are declared

To be filled only after the cou	irse results are deciar	eu	1 water
	Course:	English	spoken
Department Commerce		0	
Department Commerce  Teacher: Dr. Supit Kr. Mathur	Year :	2018	
Your responses will be seen only after your course res	ults have been finalize	ed and record	leu.
The information will be used only for the improvemen	t of the course and te	eaching in fut	ure.
The information will be used only for the improvement			
You need not your name if you do not wish to.			
You may tick more than one answer to a question to	the extent that they d	o not contrac	dict each other.
You may tick more than one answer to a question to	ipation in class?		
The syllabus of each course was			
a. Adequate			
b. Inadequate			
c. Challenging			
d Dull			
Background for benefiting from the course v	vas		
a. More than adequate			
b. Adequate			
c. Inadequate			
d. Cannot say			
Vory helpful			
3. How much of the syllabus was covered in the	he class?		
a. 85 to 100%			
b. 70 to 85 <b>\$</b> c. 55 to 70%			
d. Less than 55%			
d. Less than 35%			
4. What is your opinion about the library ma	terial and facilities fo	r the course?	
4. What is your opinion about the library me	terror -		
a. More than adequate			
b. Adequate			
c. Inadequate			
d. Very poor  5. To what extent were you able to get mat	erial for the prescribe	ed readings?	
<ol><li>To what extent were you able to get mat</li></ol>	C1.0. 70		

a. Easy		
b. With some difficulty		
c. Not available at all		
d. With great difficulty		
6. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes ?		
a. Thoroughly		
b. Satisfactory		
c. Poorly		
d. Indifferently		
7. How well was the teacher able to communicate?		
a. Always effective		
b. Sometimes effective		
c. Just satisfactorily		
d. Generally ineffective		
8. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class?		
a. Mostly yes		
b. Sometimes		
c. Not at all		
d. Always		
9. If yes, which of the following methods were used?		
a. Encouraged to raise questions		
b. Get involved in discussion in class		
c. Encourage discussion outside class		
d. Did not encourage		
10. How helpful was the teacher in advising?		
a. Very helpful		
b. Sometimes helpful		
c. Not at all helpful		
d. Did not advise		
11. The teachers approach can best be described as		
a. Always courteous		
b. Sometimes rude		
c. Always indifferent		
d. Cannot say		
12. Internal assessment was		
a. Always fair		
b. Sometimes unfair		
c. Usually unfair		
d. Sometimes fair		
13. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course g	rade?	
a. Helps to improve		
b. Discouraging		

C.	No special effect
d.	Sometimes effective
14. How o	ften did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
a.	Regularly/in time
b.	With helpful comment
c.	Often/late
d.	Without any comments
15. Were y	our assignments discussed with you?
a.	Yes, fully
b.	Yes, partly
c.	Not discussed at all
d.	Sometimes discussed
16. Were y	ou provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
a.	Yes Yes
b.	No C
If yes, v	vas it helpful?
a.	Yes
b.	No C

Name - Aman Aditya

father's name - Shashi Kant Sahn

Stahian Road, Isri Bazar.

Dilt - Giridih.

Mob - 9572372440

Clay-Brow. Semester VI Roll - 67

Analysis	Report		
111001 100	on over all	bestormans	

Students overall evaluation of the pr	ogramme and teaching
To be filled only after the course	
Department commerce Teacher: DP3, Mathur	Course: Semester BY Year: 20/8-21
Your responses will be compared and	Year: 20 8-21
Your responses will be seen only after your course results he	ave been finalized and recorded.
The information will be used only for the improvement of the	e course and teaching in future.
ou need not your name if you do not wish to.	
ou may tick more than one answer to a question to the ext	ent that they do not controlled and the
a. Adequate b. Inadequate c. Challenging d. Dull  2. Background for benefiting from the course was a. More than adequate b. Adequate c. Inadequate d. Cannot say  3. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class? a. 85 to 100%	that they up not contradict each other.
b. 70 to 855 c. 55 to 70% d. Less than 55%	
<ol> <li>What is your opinion about the library material and fa</li> <li>a. More than adequate  </li> </ol>	cilities for the course?
b. Adequate c. Inadequate d. Very poor	
5. To what extent were you able to get material for the p	prescribed readings?
Performance of Dr. Sis. Rosheipar	S. Marthur and
Dage.	Parts -

			6
		a. Easy	1
	b.	o. With some difficulty	
		c. Not available at all	Pack on vous performance?
	d.	Breat difficulty	0
6.	How v	well did the teacher prepare for the	classes ?
	a.		
	b.	o. Satisfactory	
	C.	Poorly 0 6	dh you?
	d.		
7.	How v	well was the teacher able to commun	nicate?
	a.	. Always effective	
	b.	. Sometimes effective	4
	C.	Just satisfactorily	Debutory locking too at the beginning?
	d.	. Generally ineffective	
8.	How fa	far the teacher encourages student p	articination in class?
	a.	. Mostly yes	acipation in class?
	b.	. Sometimes	
	c.	. Not at all	
	d.	. Always	
9.	If yes,	, which of the following methods wer	e used?
	a.	. Encouraged to raise questions	
	b.	. Get involved in discussion in class	02
	c.		09
	d.	. Did not encourage	_ 04
10.	How he	helpful was the teacher in advising?	LO 5"
	a.		2_
		Sometimes helpful	2
	C.		2
	d.		\$
11	1	eachers approach can best be describ	
	a.		ed as
		- mileys countedus	6
	c.	Tomes rade	5
	d.		3
12	-	Cannot say	
12.			
	a.		2
		- United difficult	4
		Usually unfair 0	2_
			6
13.	what ef	effect do you think the internal assess	ment will have on your course grade?
	a.	Helps to improve	2_
	ь.	Discouraging	K

c.	No special effect
d.	To the control of the
14. How of	ten did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
a.	Regularly/in time
b.	With helpful comment 09
C.	Often/late 06
	Without any comments 2
15. Were y	our assignments discussed with you?
a.	Yes, fully
	Yes, partly 56
c.	Not discussed at all 02
	Sometimes discussed O
16. Were y	ou provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning
a.	Yes D
b.	No 62_
If yes,	was it helpful?
a.	Yes O
b.	No DOM

### Feedback on curriculum

	the coordinator (collecting feedback)	***************************************		
eedback	taken on datedtoto	***************************************		
1.	The topic was  a. Interesting b. Traditional c. Not interesting d. Should remove from the syllabus	06 08 01	DeV.	
2.	Was the topic easy or difficult to understa a. Easy b. Manageable c. Inadequate d. Cannot say	nd?		
3.	How many days it takes to complete the s a. 2days b. 3days c. 4days d. More than 5 days	aid topic?		
4.	What % the topic related to the current jo a. Related only 10 to 25% b. Related more than 25% c. Related 50% d. Not related at all	b environment?		ní
5.	What topic you want that the university signs environment market?  a. Course on share market to be introduced. Accounting package like tally should c. More industry project work to be in d. Enter pre-neurship development programment.	duce d introduce stroduced	05	rent
	Feedback on to 10 Ac to t Spanish of Principal's 8'		m are hard cessery St	ed over

a. Satisfactory		02	
b. To be modify		Comp. 04	
c. More feedback shou	ld taken	02	
d. Alumni/Employer /li	dustries should take active p	articipation	
for modification of	topic ir course moults have to	<u></u> 64	
more atom will be used only fo	the improvement of the cou		
nay that more than one answe			th other.
. The cylidbus of each course			
	2		
Darfuround for boundling t			
e, More than adequat	E 55		
h. Adequate			
d. Cancust say			
N- 65 to 100M			46
9, Less than 55%			
9700 h unio colebta aband			
A More than adequat			
N. Adequate			
d. Wery poer	provide the second		
		Bullion Co.	
00 + 4 PM		DVPT FINE	
		-MONTHLY Q	

PHONES DE DIESCON PONTOCOMIC

Analy son repost.

Stu	dent feed	back on t	teacher		
Department: Anthropology					
			Semeste	er /Team/Year:	
Please rate the teacher on the following a	ttributes	using the	4 point scale s	hown	
4.00 3.00	.50				
				0.0	
	II. III. II. II. II. III. III. III. II	-	-	-7	
Very good Good satisfactor	74				
At			isfactory		
Name of the Teacher: UMA SHANK	CAR 1	ROY			
parameters	A	Тв	7.		
	Very	Good	C Satisfactory	D	
1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as	Good		Sitisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
perceived by you)					
2. communication skills (in terms of					
or uculation and comprehensibility					
3 .sincerity/commitment of the teacher 4 .Interest generated by the teacher					
- Autility to integrate course material					
The state of the s					
areager berspective,	1				
. Ability to integrate content with other					
7 Accessibility of the teacher in and out of					
The stade (Intelligible availability of the					
cacher to motivate further ctude			-		
iscossion outside class)					1
Ability to design quizzes Tests/assignments /examinations and					
ojects to evaluate students					
nderstanding of the course					
Provision of sufficient time for factory					
O.Overall rating			The state of		
		1			
	- 1	- 1_	1		
A 2	1 -		01 7	0 - 6/ 1	1.
As per the	re	Vien	1 4 1	Lachers	Feedban
sis of sett of	+		P		
if in freed that	1				
Men	0	- is	1851	· answe	red "
10.9	ممتر		-	origen do	and "
h.		no	1/ 1	2000	700011
Con la fam	and	ave	1. Posp	er award	eres
Zut & fact		- (	forts.		
i right his	in 4	Ken "	4000	1	-/-
in regularions	-		b	un-	- N.
SISDENC	ipa	1	- 30	34 love	- coordinar
- 0 1	1				

# Student feedback on teacher

Department: .	Anthro	to logy.		Semester /Team/Year:
			g attributes using	Semester /Team/Year:
4.00	3.00	2.00	1.50	0.0
Very good	Good	satisfac	ctory	unsatisfactory

Name of the Teacher: Prof. Umashen kan Roy.

parameters	A	В	C	D
I Mondained of such course and	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	-			
2. communication skills (in terms of		-		
articulation and comprehensibility)  3 .sincerity/commitment of the teacher	-			
4 .Interest generated by the teacher	1	F26		
5 .Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective	/	4		
6 .Ability to integrate content with other courses				
7 Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and		~		
discussion outside class)	ed in the	class?		
Ability to design quizzes     Tests/assignments /examinations and     projects to evaluate students     understanding of the course				
Provision of sufficient time for feedback 0.Overall rating	~			
4. What is your opinion about the libra	ry mater		String for the	

### Page-2

Students overall evaluation of the programme and teaching

To be filled only after the course results are declared

Department

	course.
Teacher:	Year :
Your responses will be seen only after your	course results have been finalized and recorded.
The information will be used only for the in-	have been manzed and recorded.
or the im	provement of the course and teaching in future.
You need not your name if you do not wish t	to.
You may tick more than one answer to a que	estion to the extent that they do not contradict each oth
1. The syllabus of each course was	rikipathan m chase
a. Adequate	
b. Inadequate	
c. Challenging	
d. Dull	
<ol><li>Background for benefiting from the c</li></ol>	course was
a. More than adequate	
b. Adequate	
c. inadequate	
d. Cannot say	
Very beiglid :: D1-8	
3. How much of the syllabus was covere	d in the class?
a. 85 to 100%	I the class?
b. 70 to 855	
c. 55 to 70%	
d. Less than 55%	
Always indifferent	
d. Carrieruy	
4. What is your opinion about the library	material and facilities for the course?
a. More trial adequate	
b. Adequate	
c. Inadequate	
d. Very poor	
5. To what extent were you able to get m	aterial for the prescribed readings?
	A NATIONAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PART

	а.		
	b.		
	C.		
	d.		
6.		vell did the teacher prepare for th	e classes ?
	a.		
	C.	Poorly	
		Indifferently	
7.		well was the teacher able to comm	nunicate?
	a.	Always effective	
	b.	Sometimes effective	
	c.	Just satisfactorily	
	d.	Generally ineffective	
8.	How fa	ar the teacher encourages studen	t participation in class?
	a.	Mostly yes	
	b.	Sometimes	
	c.	Not at all	
	d.	Always	
9.	If yes,	which of the following methods	were used?
	a.	Encouraged to raise questions	
	b.	Get involved in discussion in cla	ess 🔽
	c.	Encourage discussion outside of	lass
	d.	. Did not encourage	
10.	. How h	helpful was the teacher in advising	37
	a.	. Very helpful	
	b.	. Sometimes helpful	
	c.	Not at all helpful	
	d.	. Did not advise	
11	. The te	eachers approach can best be des	cribed as
	a.	. Always courteous	
	b.	. Sometimes rude	
	c.	. Always indifferent	
	d.	. Cannot say	
12	Interna	nal assessment was	
	a.	. Always fair	
	b.	. Sometimes unfair	
	c.	. Usually unfair	
	d.		
13	. What	effect do you think the internal a	ssessment will have on your course grade?
	a.		
	b.		
		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TW	

c.	No special effect
d.	Sometimes effective
14. How of	ften did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
a.	Regularly/in time
b.	With helpful comment
c.	Often/late
d.	Without any comments
15. Were y	your assignments discussed with you?
a.	Yes, fully
b.	Yes, partly
C.	Not discussed at all
d.	Sometimes discussed
16. Were	you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
a.	Yes
b.	No
If yes,	was it helpful?
a.	Yes
b.	No
Y	Tuvraj
100	
AX	TS
Sess	ion-2016-2019
CC-	
Roll	U NO989

## Feedback on curriculum

	Name of the Dept.  Name of the coordinator (collecting feedback)					
Feed	ibad	k take	en on datedto.			
	1.	Th	e topic was			
		a.	Interesting			
		b.	Traditional			
		c.	Not interesting			
		d.				
	2.	Was	the topic easy or difficult to unders	tand?		
		a.		COLO.		
		b.	Manageable			
		c.	Inadequate			
		d.	Cannot say	H		
	3.	How	many days it takes to complete the	said tonic?		
		a.	2days	Said topic:		
		b.	3days	H		
		c.	4days	=		
		d.	More than 5 days			
			3043	Щ		
	4.	What	t % the topic related to the current j	ob environment?		
		a.	Related only 10 to 25%			
		b.	Related more than 25%			
		C.	Related 50%			
		d.	Not related at all			
	5.	What	topic you want that the colorest	•		
	-	lob er	topic you want that the university solutionment market?	should incorporate in t	the syllabus to meet the	current
			Course on share market to be intro	4		
		c.	Accounting package like tally shoul			
			More industry project work to be in			
		u.	Enter pre-neurship development	programme must intro	duced	

6.	Asa	Alumni , what is your opinion about the topic ?	
	a.	Satisfactory	-
	b.	To be modify	
	C.	More feedback should taken	
		Alumni/Employer /Industries should take active participation for modification of topic	

YUV89;
'ARTS'
Session-2016-2019
CC-4
ROLL NO. - 989

Department: Please rate the					Semeste	er /Team/Year: 7	
, are the	teacher on	the following a	ttributes	using the	4 point scale s	hown	
4.00	3.00	2 22	.50			0.0	W 7 .
	1	10.75	-			. 0.0	
Von					Diam'r.	4017	
Very good	Good	satisfactor	у	unsat	isfactory	nd retarded.	
ame of the Te	acher:	21 2.	0			~ 01	
1	"	of saa	suece	eo in	asser	Mendel	
arameters		the top of wi	B to	7-			
			A	В	C	D	1
			Good	Good	Sitisfactory	Unsatisfactory	MAIN CANNELL
Knowledge ba	ese of the tea	cher (as	1	1	-		
communication	on skille (in t	E					
ticulation and	comprehensi	bility	-				
sincerity/com	mitment of th	10 toacher					
interest gener	ated by the t	eacher		~			
Ability to integ	per issuer to	naterial with	V				
oduer perspect	tive		Land I				
Ability to integ	rate content	with other					
11262				~			
ccessibility of class (include	the teacher i	n and out of		~			
cher to motiva	te further st	of the					00
cussion outside	e class)	ady and	Lance III				
Ability to design	n quizzes	The second second		1			
ests/assignmen ojects to evalua	ts /examinat	ions and					
derstanding of	the course						
rovision of suff	icient time fo	or fearth ast					
Overall rating		recubeck					
							3
			SECTION AND ADDRESS.	artist and	facilities for		
	. Mise gar	adequate FX					
n	egan	in A	na	48	yg fe	edbear lepto the score	
	0	1	4		1	111	Mary
	Nen	y good	A	1 50	ore (	ep to the	100
	101	10	,	20	111	score	at 48
	MV	,	3	the the	ne is	noscore	Los
	L	ever.	1800	0		Cardon .	modifica
	00	et stect	one	f ce	nsatis.	Asolas .	
	7	2001	scel				
	V	regi		100			
		215	DX	n CIT	od -	2,2-	/come lo ore
		- 0	10	-			-

.

## Page-2

## Students overall evaluation of the programme and teaching

To be filled only after the course results are declared

Departme	ent Economic	3	Course:	Semester I 2014
Teacher:	Pasudeo Pd. 1	gandel.	Year :	2014
Your resp	onses will be seen only after			
The infor	mation will be used only for the	he improvement of th	e course and te	aching in future.
You need	not your name if you do not	wish to.		
You may	tick more than one answer to	a question to the ext	tent that they do	not contradict each other
1. T	he syllabus of each course wa	as		
	a. Adequate			
	b. Inadequate			
	c. Challenging			
	d. Dull			
2. 1	Background for benefiting from	m the course was		
	a. More than adequate			
	b. Adequate			
	c. Inadequate			
	d. Cannot say			
	Schustnes heldes			
3.	How much of the syllabus wa	s covered in the class	?	
	a. 85 to 100%			
	b. 70 to 855			
	c. 55 to 70%			
	d. Less than 55%			
	d. Cannot say mail dougstment was		d for alliable of four Ale	
4.	What is your opinion about t	The second secon	d facilities for th	e courser
	a. More than adequate			
	b. Adequate			
	c. Inadequate			
	d. Very poor		Have on your co	un-2 (17/00)
5.	To what extent were you abl	e to get material for t	he prescribed re	eadings?

,		Easy			
		. With some difficulty			
	c.	not available at all			
	d.	Breat dillically			
6.	How	well did the teacher pre	pare for the classes ?		
	a.				
		Satisfactory			
		Poorly			
		Indifferently			
7.	7. How well was the teacher able to communicate?				
		Always effective			
		Sometimes effective			
		Just satisfactorily			
	d.	Generally ineffective	Commence of second from the first first first first from the first		
8.	How f	ar the teacher encourage	es student participation in class?		
	a.	Mostly yes			
	b.	Sometimes			
	C.	Not at all			
	d.				
9.	If yes,	which of the following i	methods were used?		
	a.	Encouraged to raise q	uestions [1]		
	b.	Get involved in discus	sion in class		
	c.	Encourage discussion	outside class		
	d.	Did not encourage			
10	. How h	elpful was the teacher i	n advising?		
		Very helpful	T S		
	b.	Sometimes helpful			
	c.	Not at all helpful			
	d.	Did not advise			
11.	The tea	chers approach can be	st be described as		
	a.				
	b.	Sometimes rude			
	c.	Always indifferent			
	d.	Cannot say			
12.	Interna	assessment was			
		Always fair			
		Sometimes unfair			
	c.				
	d.				
13.	What e		nternal assessment will have on your course grade?		
	a.	Helps to improve			
	b.	Discouraging			

c.	No special effect	
d.	Sometimes effective	
14. How o	ften did the teacher pro	ovide feedback on your performance?
a.	Regularly/in time	Con periorinance;
b.	With helpful commer	nt 🗍
c.	Often/late	
d.	Without any commen	its
	your assignments discu	
a.	Yes, fully	
b.	Yes, partly	
c.	Not discussed at all	
d.	Sometimes discussed	
16. Were	ou provided with a cou	irse contributory lecture too at the beginning?
a.	Yes	The state too at the beginning
b.	No	
If yes,	was it helpful?	
a.	Yes	still topic?
b.	No	

Choutem Sinhs class Roll. 116 Session 2014-20 Semester I

The Miller of the Control of the Con

- 6. As a Alumni , what is your opinion about the topic ?
  - a. Satisfactory
  - b. To be modify
  - c. More feedback should taken
  - d. Alumni/Employer /Industries should take active participation for modification of topic

Coulsm Sinks class Roll. 116 Session 2017-20 Semester I